

CLIMATE ACTION RESERVE

### **Overview Webinar** Grassland Project Protocol V2.0

February 24, 2017

This material is based upon work supported by the Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under number 69-3A75-16-025

### Agenda



- 1. Background
- 2. Presentation of v2.0 updates
- 3. Registry software updates
- 4. Audience questions



# Section 1 BACKGROUND

## **Climate Action Reserve**



- 501(c)3 nonprofit, founded in 2001
- Voluntary offset registry, and approved Offset Project Registry for CARB
- Adopted 18 offset project protocols in the US and Mexico
- More than 87M credits issued to voluntary and compliance offset projects

## Background



- July 22, 2015: GPP v1.0 adopted
- September 2015: Awarded USDA NRCS Conservation Innovation Grant for outreach, implementation, pilot projects, and protocol update
- **Spring 2016**: Released Project Development Handbook, conducted stakeholder outreach
- July 2016: Listed 2 pilot projects in Colorado
- September 2016: Initiated protocol update
- January 2017: GPP v2.0 Adopted by Reserve Board



-



#### CLIMATE A C T I O N RESERVE

- Fore
- G Grassland
- 🕕 Landfill
- Livestock
- Mine Methane
- Nitric Acid Production
- **0** Organic Waste Composting
- **0** Organic Waste Digestion
- **0** Ozone Depleting Substances
- F Forest ARB
- Livestock ARB
- Mine Methane ARB
- 0 Ozone Depleting Substances ARB
- Listed, Registered & Completed Projects as of December 31, 2016

G

MM

M

F

G

F

0

XL.

F OL

0

0

F

0

F

5

Q

0

C

L

G

00

Ċ,

## **GPP** overview



- Avoided conversion of grassland to cropland
- Eligibility:
  - Financial threshold as proxy for conversion pressure
  - Suitability threshold to limit projects to arable land
- Quantification:
  - Baseline avoided loss of soil C, as well as emissions from cultivation
  - Project emissions from grazing, compost, fuels, etc.
  - Calculation tool is provided by the Reserve

## GPP overview (con't)



- Permanence ensured through conservation easement, or transfer to federal ownership
- Project area must be grassland for at least 10 years prior to the project
- Verification can be conducted remotely
- Multiple projects can be managed together as a "Cooperative"
- Flexible verification schedules
- Crediting period is up to 50 years



## Section 2 UPDATES FROM V1.0 TO V2.0

## GPP v2.0 highlights

Major changes in bold

- Allow for irrigation of project area
- New start date option
- New options for suitability threshold
- New ecosystem health assessments
- Removed accounting for shrubs
- Reduced buffer pool contribution for accredited land trusts
- Updated soil texture class names
- Minor language improvements



#### "Project Developer" is now "Project Owner"

- GPP v1.0 used "Project Developer" as a legal term, referring to the entity with ownership of the GHG emission reductions
- v1.0 usage caused confusion among users
- "Project Owner" has the same definition

## Irrigation allowed in project



#### Irrigation now allowed during project

- GPP v1.0 prohibits irrigation of the project area
- Stakeholders suggested that moderate irrigation can
   improve rangeland health without significant drawbacks
- v2.0 allows for irrigation
  - Any increases in electricity emissions related to pumping must be accounted for
  - Also need to account for N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from leaching, volatilization, and run-off

## New start date option



#### New option allows project submittal to define start date

- Very common approach for forestry
- Project is "submitted" when the form is uploaded and the "submit" button is clicked in the registry software
- Only applicable before the project easement is recorded
- Easement must still be in place prior to completion of initial verification
- Simplifies cooperative management
  - Submit all cooperative projects at once and they will all have the same start date

### Suitability threshold options updated



#### **Replaced national default with two options:**

- Option 1: Default value by Major Land Resource Area
- Option 2: Local assessment
- Stakeholder feedback that national default was too restrictive for some areas
- Staff analysis concluded that national default was too low for some areas and too high for others
- Staff conducted GIS analysis of the Land Capability Classification of existing cropland, controlling for irrigation
- Non-irrigated threshold by default
- Irrigated threshold available if project can prove access to irrigation in the baseline

## Non-irrigated results





## Irrigated results





## Ecosystem health assessments



## Periodic ecosystem health assessments now required for eligibility

- Follow the BLM Rangeland Health Assessment protocol
- Assessments at least every 6 years
- Seriously degraded lands must show improvement
- Allows for a long-term view of the health of the project area, ensuring stability of belowground carbon pools
- Can help identify non-event-related reversals
- Assessment can be completed with minimal training and only a few hours of site activity

https://jornada.nmsu.edu/monit-assess/manuals/assessment

Rangeland Health Assessment protocol

- Ecological Site Description for reference conditions for 17 different metrics
- Assess each metric on a scale of deviation
- Adaptive management to
   improve degraded areas
- Ineligible for reporting period if condition worsened over time for avoidable reasons



https://jornada.nmsu.edu/monit-assess/manuals/assessment



Woody shrubs removed from v2.0 quantification

- Optional pool in GPP v1.0
- GPP v1.0 does not properly account for shrubs in the context of fire and reversals
- Over two years of outreach, zero stakeholders have expressed interest in accounting for shrubs
- Adds significant effort and complexity to quantification and verification
- Could be re-worked and added back in the future



**Buffer pool contribution updated**: Risk<sub>FF</sub> = 0% where the Project Owner is *accredited* land trust

- V1.0 applies 10% Risk<sub>FF</sub> for projects without the Recorded PIA, subordination clause Type I
- Land Trust Accreditation Commission requires proof of financial resources to support the easement into the future, including legal costs
- Accredited land trusts can, categorically, be viewed as a stable counterparties for the PIA
- V2.0 applies 0% risk to these organizations



#### **Renamed the three soil texture classes**

• V1.0 names can be confusing with the various qualifiers used in the soil survey

– E.g., "Sandy loam" = "Sand"

Recommended by contractors

GPP v1.0		GPP v2.0	
Sand	=	Coarse	
Loam	=	Medium	
Clay	=	Fine	

## REGISTRY SOFTWARE UPDATES

Section 3



## **Registry software updates**



- New account type: "Project Owner" (PO)
  - Used by Cooperative participants who are the "Project Owner" as defined in the protocol
    - Reduced fee
    - Limited functionality
  - Cooperative Developers use "Project Developer" account type
- Cooperative developer submits and manages projects
  - Cooperative ID assigned by the Reserve Administrator
  - Selects a PO for each project
  - CRTs will be issued to the PO by automatic forward transfer
- Common buffer pool among project types



## Section 4 AUDIENCE QUESTIONS





#### Join us at the following events:

Event	Location	Date
Navigating the American Carbon World	San Francisco, CA	April 19-21
Northwest Grazing Conference	Pendleton, OR	May 10-11
Montana Grassland Workshop	TBD, MT	TBD (summer)

Contact: max@climateactionreserve.org (213) 785-1233